

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,574	02/05/2004	Ronald G. Thieman	70026260-0002-103 2255		
54243	7590 04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
JOHN V. DANILUCK BINGHAM McHALE LLP 2700 MARKET TOWER 10 W. MARKET STREET			DESAI, HEMANT		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3721		
INDIANAPOI	LIS, IN 46204		DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	•	
	_	

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/772,574	THIEMAN, RONALD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hemant M. Desai	3721		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								
	belore the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hemant M. Desai	3721					
	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED 06 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. 🗀	. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-	to the final setesting out	iahawa ia tatan In				
0)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. [	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AME	NDMENTS	The second control of						
3. 🗵	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cor(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. 🗆	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	,				
6. [	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: None.  Claim(s) objected to: None.  Claim(s) rejected: 84 and 86.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wilvided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. [	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).				
	<ul> <li>□ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.</li> <li>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ul>							
	1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment present additional claim 87. Further, the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is still applicable to claims 84 and 86, in the final rejection mailed on 2/16/2006. Belmont et a. clearly disclose fastener strips (14) having interlocable profiles (26, 32) with sliders (12) and fusing together a portion of the interlockable profiles (end terminators, col. 5, lines 10-14), and attaching the interlockable fastener strips to the web of flexible film after placing the plurality of sliders and after fusing at plurality of location.

Hemant M. Desai. 4/17/06